

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/043,433	01/07/1999	DAVID D. MUNDSCHENK	15050.4.2	6740	
7	590 10/01/2002				
PHILIP M GOLDMAN			EXAMINER		
FREDRIKSON 1100 INTERN	I & BYRON ATIONAL CENTRE		GEORGE, K	GEORGE, KONATA M	
900 2ND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 554023397			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1616		
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002 25		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		09/043,433		MUNDSCHENK, DAVID D.			
	Onice Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Konata M. George	1616	ddrass			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In scions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howevery within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI3, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 S	September 2002 .					
2a)⊠		is action is non-fina	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-18 and 21-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-26 and 30-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-18,21-23 and 27-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent.				
··	ion Papers			•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
<i>,</i> —	•	armor.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
, —	All b) Some * c) None of:	i priority under 55 t	J.J.O. & 113(a)-(a) or (i).				
aj		s have been receiv	hed				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachmen	•						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other:				

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-8, 11-18 and 21-32 are pending in this application.

Irradiation Process

The papers filed on September 10, 2002 (certificate of mailing dated September 3, 2002) have not been made part of the permanent records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) for this application (37 CFR 1.52(a)) because of damage from the United States Postal Service irradiation process. The above-identified papers, however, were not so damaged as to preclude the USPTO from making a legible copy of such papers. Therefore, the Office has made a copy of these papers, substituted them for the originals in the file, and stamped that copy:

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

If applicant wants to review the accuracy of the Office's copy of such papers, applicant may either inspect the application (37 CFR 1.14(d)) or may request a copy of the Office's records of such papers (i.e., a copy of the copy made by the Office) from the Office if Public Records for the fee specified in 37 CFR 1.19(b)(4). Please do **not** call the Technology Center's Customer Service Center to inquiry about the completeness or accuracy of Office's copy of the above-identified papers, as the Technology Center's Customer Service Center will **not** be able to provide this service.

If applicant does not consider the Office's copy of such papers to be accurate, applicant must provide a copy of the above-identified papers (except for any U.S. or foreign patent documents submitted with the above-identified papers) with a statement that such copy is a complete and accurate copy of the originally submitted documents. If applicant provides such a copy of the above-identified papers and statement within **THREE MONTHS** of the mail date of this Office action, the Office will add the original mailroom date and use the copy provided by applicant as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers in place of the copy made by the Office. Otherwise, the Office's copy will be used as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers (*i.e.* the Office will use the copy of the above-identified papers made by the Office for examination and all other purposes). This three-month period is not extendable.

Action Summary

1. Examiner acknowledges the cancellation of claims 9, 10, 19 and 20 and the addition of claims 21-32.

Art Unit: 1616

- 2. The rejection of record under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph with respect to "chemical agent" is hereby withdrawn.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-8 and 11-18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Jass et al. is being maintained for the reasons stated in the previous office action.
- 4. Claims 21-23 and 27-29 are being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Jess et al. as well.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed September 10, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Jass et al. is concerned with an aerosol packaged that is used for separately storing and simultaneously mixing and dispensing a plurality of flowable materials. The reference neither teaches nor suggests a system for preparing, storing and delivering a homogeneous stable aqueous formulation that contains both a chemical agent and a delivery agent. It is the position of the examiner that Jass et al. do disclose the claimed invention. Applicant claim that Jass does not disclose a system for preparing or storing the formulation, however, neither a method of preparing nor a method of storing is claimed by applicant. As mentioned in the previous office action Example A does disclose a composition comprising hydrogen peroxide (i.e. chemical agent) and sodium lauryl sulfate (i.e. delivery agent). Furthermore, column 8, lines 63-64 describes that the peroxide composition and the toothpaste vehicle are filled into the inner container thus given a homogenous mixture. Thus, Jass et al. discloses the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1616

Response to Amendment

6. Newly submitted claims 24-26 and 30-32 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 24-26 and 30-32 are drawn to a system comprising "purified sea water" as the chemical agent, which are unrelated to the present claims and not disclosed as capable of use together.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 24-26 and 30-32 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Conclusion

7. Claims 1-8, 11-18, 21-23 and 27-29 stand rejected.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1616

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4646. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 5:30PM Monday to Thursday, and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, José Dees, can be reached at (703) 308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Konata M. George

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5